SI.No.	Name of Exchange	Capacity
50.	Kavanur	45
51.	Karasanur	25
52.	M. Palade	25
53.	Triupallani	35
54.	Kammapuram	25
55.	Ulandhai	25
56.'	Tiruthuraiyur	25
57.	Tipplsamudram	25
58.	Kosavnipudur	25
59.	Nathampoondi	25
60.	Koodapattu	45

Statement-**Ill**

New Exchanges Opened During 1987-88

SI. No.	Name of Exchange	Capacity
1.	Saibaba Colony	3,000
2.	Nadupatti	25
3.	Maru	25
4.	Rajalulam	25
5.	Umbalachery	25'
c.	Kauverypuram	25
7.	Inampuliyur	25
8.	Vilampatti	25
9.	Panchamadevi	25
JO.	Uthanpanaickanur	25
11.	Uchipalli	45
12.	Pavitram	25
11	Devarkulam	25
14.	Valiaokkam	25
15.	Avathavadi	25
16.	Kenthorai	25
17.	Cherumulli	25
18.	Kmnakurai	25
19.	DomaraDpakkam	25
20.	Puliyarai	2[illegible]
21.	Ennamangalam	25
22	Tirumalagiri	25
23.	Rasinagar	25
24.	Mottaianur	25
25.	Sothankuppam	25
2d.	Eramad	90
27.	Eedakkadu	25
28.	Tiruppalaivanam	10
29.	Magarel	25
30.	Arungulam	25
31.	Equ-varpalayam	25
32.	Mattur	25
33.	Karungalkudi	25
34.	Santhavasal	25
35.	Karuvalur	25
36.	Ingur	45
37.	Alappatti	25
3,8.	Kxishnapuram	25
39.	Menasi	25
40.	Sanamava	25
41.	Kurubanabali	**25**

Madras Telephone Services

635. SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: SHRI T. R. BALU:

Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) what is the present capacity* of each telephone exchange in Madras City**;**

(b) how many new lines were installed in each exchange during the last three years, yearwise;

(c) how many applications are on the waiting lists for telephone connections in each exchange as on date, category-wise;

(d) what are the future expansion plans of each of the exchanges; and

(e) whether there is any proposal to form or to convert the Madras Telephones into a Corporation like Bombay and Delhi, if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICA-TIONS (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMAN-GO): (a) The capacity of each Telephone Exchange in Madras City as on 31-12-1988 is given in Annexure-I *[See* Appendix CXLIX, Annexure No. 27].

(b) Details are given in Annexure-II *[See* Appendix CXLIX, Annexure No. 28].

(c) The waiting list as on 31-12-1988 in each exchange category-wise is given in Annexure-III *[See* Appendix CXLIX, Annexure No. 29].

(d) The expansion programme of each exchange is given in Annexure-I.

(e) There is no proposal for the formation of new Mahanagar Telephone Nigams at present.

Post Offices in rural areas of Orissa

636. SHRI BASUDEB MOHAPAT-RAr Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to open post offices in rural areas in Orissa during the remaining period of the Seventh Five Year Plan; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMAN-GO): (a) and (b) The proposals for opening of new post offices are being processed.

Revision of pay scales of Telecommunication Technicians

637. SHRI KAILASH PATI MISHRA: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have withdrawn the recognition and the Trade Union facilities from the Bhar-tiya Telecommunication Technicians Union since September, 1988; if so, what are the reasons therefor;

(b) whether Government had assured in March, 1988 the pay scales of Rs.1320-2040 for Technicians and Rs. 1600-2600 for Technical Supervisors and had also agreed to finalise the same within six weeks; if so, what are the reasons for not implementing the decision so far;

(c) what is the estimated cumulative financial loss on account of the tool down, work-to-rule and other strikes of these technicians from February, 1988 onwards; and

(d) whether there is any proposal for reconciliation in the matter of revision of their pay scales and promotional avenues: if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) Yes Sir, the recognition was withdrawn on 12-9-88 due to the following reasons:—

(i) They launched an illegal agitation while ESMA was in force.

(ii) The demand for revision of pay scales was not justified as the Pay Commission examined these issues recently; and

(iii) The agitation was not justified as Conciliation Proceedings were in progress and they were explained the action being taken.

(b) No assurance was given to the effect that Pay Scales of Rs. 1320-2040 for Technicians and Rs. 1640-2600 for Technical Supervisors would be implemented.